	1	
1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California	
2	MATTHEW M. DAVIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	LEANNA E. SHIELDS Deputy Attorney General	
4	State Bar No. 239872 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800	
5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266	
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9401 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9		
10	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12		
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2014-010785
14	ROBERT L. TURK, M.D.	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER
15	1760 Key Lane El Cajon, CA 92021	[Gov. Code, §11520]
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 22577,	
17 18	Respondent.	
19	FINDINGS OF FACT	
20	1. On or about November 22, 2017, Complainant Kimberly Kirchmeyer, in her official	
21	capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer	
22	Affairs, filed Accusation No. 800-2014-010785 against Robert L. Turk, M.D. (respondent) before	
23	the Medical Board of California (Board).	
24	2. On or about July 14, 1967, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate	
25	No. A 22577 to respondent. The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate expired on October 31,	
26	2016, and has not been renewed. (Exhibit 1.) <sup>1</sup>	
27	The exhibits referred to herein, which are true and correct copies of the originals, are contained in the	
28	separate accompanying "Default Decision Evidence Packet specific exhibit number.	t" and will be identified by "Exhibit" Followed by the

of all parts

- 3. On or about November 22, 2017, Jody Wright, an employee of the Board, served by Certified Mail (Certified Mail No. 7012 3460 0000 2387 4292) a true and correct copy of Accusation No. 800-2014-010785, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to respondent's address of record with the Board, which was and is: 1760 Key Lane, El Cajon, CA 92021. A true and correct copy of Accusation No. 800-2014-010785, the related documents, and the Declaration of Service dated November 22, 2017, are attached hereto as Exhibit 2, and are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 4. On or about December 12, 2017, a Courtesy Notice of Default Letter was mailed by First Class Mail to respondent at his address of record on file with the Board. (Exhibits 3 and 4.)
- 5. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
  - 6. Business and Professions Code section 118 states, in pertinent part:
  - "(b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the license on any such ground."
  - 7. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
    - "
  - "(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of

 $/\!/\!/$ 

defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing."

- 8. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 800-2014-010785.
  - 9. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - "(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.

66 77

- 10. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it as contained in the separate accompanying "Default Decision Evidence Packet," finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2014-010785, and each of them, separately and severally, are true and correct.
- 11. California Business and Professions Code section 2227 provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, be placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, be publicly reprimanded, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Medical Board deems proper.
  - 12. California Business and Professions Code section 2234 states, in pertinent part:

    "The Board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with
    unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article,
    unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

27 ⋅ | ///

///

"(b) Gross negligence.

66 99

13. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 22577 to disciplinary action by reason of the following:

# **Gross Negligence**

- 14. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeons Certificate No. A 22577 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined in section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code, in that he committed gross negligence in his care and treatment of Patient A<sup>2</sup>, as more particularly alleged hereinafter.
- 15. On or about August 1, 2012, Patient A sustained an injury to her right wrist. A series of X-rays were taken of her wrist and submitted to respondent for interpretation.
- 16. Respondent reviewed and interpreted the X-rays and determined it was a negative study.
- 17. Based upon respondent's negative X-ray report, Patient A's treating physicians treated Patient A as having sustained a contusional injury.
- 18. A subsequent review of the X-rays revealed a comminuted, non-displaced fracture with intra-articular extension but without volar angulation.
- 19. Respondent was grossly negligent in his care and treatment of Patient A, including, but not limited to, failing to interpret, recognize and report the right distal fracture on the X-rays taken of Patient A's wrist. (Exhibit 5.)

## **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, respondent Robert L. Turk, M.D. has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 22577 to disciplinary action.
  - 2. The Board has jurisdiction to adjudicate this matter by default.
- 3. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, and based on the evidence before it, and the Finding of Facts contained in paragraphs 1 through 19, above, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For patient privacy, patient names have been withheld.

Board hereby finds that the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2014-010785, and each of them, separately and severally, are true and correct.

4. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, and by reason of the Findings of Fact contained in paragraphs 1 through 19, above, and Determination of Issues 1, 2, and 3, above, the Board hereby finds that respondent Robert L. Turk, M.D., has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 22577 to disciplinary action under California Business and Professions Code sections 2220, 2227 and 2234, in that he has committed gross negligence in violation of Business and Professions Code section 2234, subdivision (b).

#### **ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 22577, heretofore issued to respondent Robert L. Turk, M.D., is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on February 16, 2018 at 5:00pm

It is so ORDERED January 17, 2018

FOR THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

Kimberly Kirchmeyer Executive Director

- 1			
1	Xavier Becerra		
2	Attorney General of California MATTHEW M. DAVIS	FILED	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General LEANNA E. SHIELDS	STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA	
4	Deputy Attorney General	SACRAMENTO November 22 2017 BY: JOBY WYANT ANALYST	
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101	MINETSI	
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9401 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9			
10	BEFORE THE		
11	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2014-010785	
14	ROBERT L. TURK, M.D.	ACCUSATION	
15	1760 Key Lane El Cajon, CA 92021		
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate		
. 17	No. A 22577,		
18	Respondent.		
19		r	
20	Complainant alleges:		
2:1	<u>PARTIES</u>		
22	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official		
23	capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer		
24	Affairs.		
25	2. On or about July 14, 1967, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's		
26	Certificate No. A 22577 to Robert L. Turk, M.D. (respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's		
27	Certificate expired on October 31, 2016, and has not been renewed.		
28	<i>III</i>		
		1	
		ACCUSATION (800-2014-010785)	

9 10

8

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

2,3

24

25

2627

28

H

///

## **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board), under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
  - 4. Section 2227 of the Code states:
  - "(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
    - "(1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
  - "(2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
  - "(3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
  - "(4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.
  - "(5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
  - "(b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1."

2

H

5. Section 2234 of the Code, states, in pertinent part:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

"(b) Gross negligence.

.....

6. Section 118 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"(b) The suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by operation of law of a license issued by a board in the department, or its suspension, forfeiture, or cancellation by order of the board or by order of a court of law, or its surrender without the written consent of the board, shall not, during any period in which it may be renewed, restored, reissued, or reinstated, deprive the board of its authority to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee upon any ground provided by law or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground.

"(c) As used in this section, 'board' includes an individual who is authorized by any provision of this code to issue, suspend, or revoke a license, and 'license' includes 'certificate,' 'registration,' and 'permit."

### FIRST AND ONLY CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Gross Negligence)

7. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 22577 to disciplinary action under section 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code, in that respondent committed gross negligence in his care and treatment of patient A<sup>1</sup>, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

<sup>1</sup> For patient privacy, patient names have been withheld.

ACCUSATION (800-2014-010785)